

A PROOF OF A HOMEOMORPHISM THEOREM OF WALDHAUSEN

SIDDHARTHA GADGIL AND GADDE A. SWARUP

ABSTRACT. We give a short proof of Waldhausen's homeomorphism theorem for orientable Haken manifolds.

We give here a short proof of Waldhausen's homeomorphism theorem [4] for orientable Haken manifolds.

Recall that a compact irreducible 3-manifold is Haken (i.e., it has a hierarchy) if and only if it is sufficiently large (i.e., it admits an incompressible surface). This follows from the Haken-Kneser argument which shows that there is a bound on the number closed disjoint non-parallel incompressible surfaces in a compact irreducible 3-manifold. A readable proof of this is given in Hempel's book [2].

We now state Waldhausen's homeomorphism theorem. The proof that follows is a variant of the standard arguments. In the standard proofs, some of the special cases of Waldhausen's theorem are proved during the course of the proof. We avoid this by appealing to a result of Hopf and Kneser that algebraic and geometric degrees are equal (see [1] and [3]).

We will always assume that we are in the orientable case and that all our sub-manifolds are two sided. The argument also works in the case of non-orientable Haken manifolds.

Theorem 1. *Suppose $(M, \partial M)$ and $(N, \partial N)$ are compact irreducible 3-manifolds with (possibly empty) boundary and N is sufficiently large. Then any homotopy equivalence $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$, whose restriction to ∂M is a homeomorphism, is homotopic to a homeomorphism.*

We first consider the case when ∂N is non-empty. Then there exists an incompressible, ∂ -incompressible surface $S \subset N$ such that $\partial S \neq \emptyset$. Let $f^{-1}(S) = \cup_{i=1}^n F_i$, where each F_i is connected. The following lemma is by now standard and stems from the work of Whitehead and Stallings.

Lemma 2. *After a homotopy of f , we may assume that each F_i is essential.*

Henceforth assume that each F_i is essential. Further, we assume that f is a homeomorphism in a neighbourhood of ∂M .

Proposition 3. *$f^{-1}(S)$ is connected and $f: f^{-1}(S) \rightarrow S$ is degree-one.*

Proof. Let $f_k: F_k \rightarrow S$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ be the restrictions of f . We shall use the fact that the degree of a map can be computed locally.

Thus, let $\partial S = \gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_m$. Then, as f is a homeomorphism in a neighbourhood of the boundary, for p in a neighbourhood of any γ_i , the inverse image $f^{-1}(p)$ consists of a single point. It follows that

$$\deg(f_k) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } f^{-1}(\gamma_i) \subset F_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It follows that exactly one f_k , say f_1 has degree ± 1 and the others have degree 0. Further, it follows that if $k \neq 1$ $\partial F_k = \phi$. But this implies that F_k is a closed surface. As S has a non-empty boundary, f_k is not an injection on π_1 . As f is a homotopy equivalence and S is essential, F_k cannot be essential, a contradiction. Thus $f^{-1}(S) = F_1$ is connected and f_1 has degree ± 1 . $\square$

Next, we shall show that the same result can be achieved in the case when $\partial M = \phi$. We use a theorem of Hopf and Kneser, which says that the algebraic and geometric degrees are equal (for a modern proof see [3]). As f is degree-one, given a ball $B \subset N$, after a homotopy of f , the restriction of f to $B' = f^{-1}(B)$ is a homeomorphism.

Let S be an essential surface in N . By an isotopy of S , we can ensure that $D = S \cap B$ is a properly embedded disc in S . Further, we may apply the lemma to ensure that $f^{-1}(S)$ is essential without altering this. Using the notation as in the previous case, we once more have the following.

Proposition 4. *$f^{-1}(S)$ is connected and $f: f^{-1}(S) \rightarrow S$ is degree-one.*

Proof. Again, using the fact that degree can be computed locally, and picking $p \in D$, we get that, after possibly re-ordering components, f_1 has degree ± 1 and all other f_k have degree 0. Further, if $k \neq 1$, the image of f_k lies in $S \setminus D$, and hence f_k cannot inject in π_1 , giving a contradiction as before. $\square$

Thus, in either case $F = F_1 = f^{-1}(S)$ is connected and f_{1*} is an injection on fundamental groups. As it has degree one, it is also a surjection. Further, its restriction to ∂F_1 is a homeomorphism. It follows that f_1 is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Thus we can cut M and N and use finiteness of hierarchies to complete the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Epstein, D. B. A., *The degree of a map*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **16** (1966), 369–383.
- [2] Hempel, John, *3-Manifolds*. Ann. of Math. Studies **86**, Princeton University Press 1976.
- [3] Skora, Richard, *The degree of a map between surfaces*. Math. Ann. **276** (1987), 415–423.
- [4] Waldhausen, Friedhelm, *On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large*. Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 56–88.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY AT STONY BROOK, STONY BROOK, NY 11794
E-mail address: `gadgil@math.sunysb.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE, VIC-TORIA, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: `gadde@ms.unimelb.edu.au`